| | Application No. | | | Applicant(s) | |
|--|-----------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | arv | 10/824,794 | | YU ET AL. | |
| Examiner-initiated interview duminary | | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | | Christopher RoDee | | 1756 | |
| All Participants: | | Status of Application | on: | _ | |
| (1) <u>Christopher RoDee</u> . | | (3) | | | |
| (2) <u>Annette Bade</u> . | | (4) | | | |
| Date of Interview: 25 September 2006 | | Time: | | | |
| | ☐ Applic | ant's representative) | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: 19 and 20 | | | | | · |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet | IE GENE | RAL NATURE OF WHA | T WAS | DISCUSSED: | |
| Part III. | | | | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief | ation. Th separate | e examiner will provide record of the substance | a writte of the | n summary of the interview, since | he substance |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | , ,, | | - |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (| Applican | t/Applicant's Representa | ative Sig | nature – if appr | opriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted counsel on 21 September to request permission for an Examiner's amendment to provide proper antecedent basis for claim terminlogy in claim 19 and to cancel claim 20 as a duplicate of claim 19. On 25 September the Examiner suggested an amendment to update the status of the copending applications on specification page 1. Permission was granted for these amendments..